

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,589	10/781,589 02/17/2004		Karel F.A. Smits	P-7718.04	3425
27581	7590	12/28/2005		EXAMINER	
MEDTRO!	•		MULLEN, KRISTEN DROESCH		
710 MEDTI MINNEAPO		ARK N 55432-9924	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WIII	obio, iii	. 33,32,332,		3766	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SP

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Commence	10/781,589	SMITS						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Kristen Mullen	3766						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/20	0/05 (Response).							
,	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1,13,14 and 122-126 is/are pending ir	n the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,13,14 and 122-126</u> is/are rejected.								
	• • —————								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/17/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/781,589 Page 2

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification contains a reference to the parent application by its application number.

This application has since been issued. The examiner respectfully requests that the parent application information be updated in the specification along with any other referenced application numbers in the specification that have matured into patents.

- 2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "plurality of radial forces"; fourth segment".
- 3. The amendment filed 10/20/05 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: a fourth segment having a bending stiffness different that the bending stiffness of one of the first, second, and third segment and mechanically coupled to one of the first second and third segments.
- 4. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Objections

- 5. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "stiffness" is missing in line 18. Appropriate correction is required.
- 6. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: there appears to be several words missing from the claim.

-

Application/Control Number: 10/781,589 Page 3

Art Unit: 3766

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The examiner can not find an embodiment in the disclosure that comprises a distal section that comprises a fourth segment having a bending stiffness different that the bending stiffness of one of the first, second and third segment and mechanically coupled to one of the first, second and third segments. Fig. 11 shows an embodiment with alternating first and second segments and a third segment.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. Claim 126 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 11. Claim 126 recites the limitation "characteristic mechanically dependent bending stiffness" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 12. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1, 13, 14 and 122-126 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 13. Rutten et al. (6,278,897).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

With respect to claim 1, Rutten shows an elongated implantable medical electrical lead comprising a lead body having a proximal section and a distal section, at least one electrode (128) coupled to the distal section, a proximal end section comprising an electrical connector, the connector being contiguous with the proximal end of the lead body, a distal end contiguous with the distal section of the lead body, at least one electrical conductor having proximal and distal ends, the distal end operatively connected to the at least one electrode and the proximal end being connected to the electrical connector, the distal section of the lead body comprises at least first (1210) second (125) and third segments (124), the first segment having a bending stiffness

Application/Control Number: 10/781,589

Art Unit: 3766

 S_{bs} greater than the bending stiffness S_{bf} of the second segment, the third segment having a bending stiffness different than the bending stiffness of the second segment, and the first, second and third segments configured and dimensioned to impart a plurality of forces to the distal end of the lead when the first, second and third segments are subjected to one of a tension force and compression force resulting in a sufficient curvature of at least the first, second and third segments (Fig. 6A).

Regarding claim 13, Rutten shows a fourth segment (122) having a bending stiffness different than one of the first, second or third segments and coupled to the first segment

With respect to claims 14 and 122-126, Rutten shows the distal section of the lead body has a characteristic mechanically dependent bending stiffness.

The statements of intended use have been carefully considered but are not considered to impart any further structural limitations over the prior art. The statements in claims 13, and 122-126 that the bending stiffness is **due to** . . . are considered to be statements of intended use and the claims have not positively recited the structure of the lead.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments filed 10/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristen Mullen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4944. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:30 am-6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-919 [toll-fee].

Robert E Pezzuto

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3766

l dm

Prister Muller